

RESOLUTION NO. 2489-09 RDA

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF WINDSOR AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF WINDSOR OBJECTING TO ANY SEIZURE BY STATE GOVERNMENT OF THE TOWN'S STREET MAINTENANCE AND REDEVELOPMENT FUNDS

WHEREAS, the current economic crisis has placed cities under incredible financial pressure and caused them to make painful budget cuts, including layoffs and furloughs of city workers, decreasing maintenance and operations of public facilities, and reductions in direct services to keep spending in line with declining revenues; and

WHEREAS, since the early 1990s the state government of California has seized over \$10 billion of city property tax revenues statewide, now amounting to over \$900 million each year to fund the state budget even after deducting public safety program payments to cities by the state; and

WHEREAS, since the early 1990s the state government also has seized \$ 1.04 billion of redevelopment tax increment statewide, and the Governor and Legislature are now considering seizing \$350 million each year for three years, beginning in the current fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, on April 30, 2009, in the case of *CRA v. Genest*, the Sacramento Superior Court found similar efforts by the State to seize redevelopment tax increment for the state general fund to be in direct violation of Article XVI, Section 16 of the State Constitution, added by the voters in 1952 as Proposition 18, which requires that tax increment be used exclusively for the benefit of redevelopment project areas; and

WHEREAS, in his proposed FY 2009-10 budget the Governor has proposed transferring \$1 billion of local gas taxes and weight fees to the state general fund to balance the state budget, and over \$700 million in local gas taxes permanently in future years, immediately jeopardizing the ability of the City to maintain the City's streets, bridges, traffic signals, streetlights, sidewalks and related traffic safety facilities for the use of the motoring public; and

WHEREAS, the loss of almost all of cities' gas tax funds will seriously compromise cities' ability to perform critical traffic safety related street maintenance, possibly including, but not limited to, drastically curtailing patching, resurfacing, street lighting/traffic signal maintenance, payment of electricity costs for street lights and signals, bridge maintenance and repair, sidewalk and curb ramp maintenance and repair, and more; and

WHEREAS, cities and counties maintain 81% of the state road network while the state directly maintains just 8%, and according to a recent statewide needs assessment on a scale of zero (failed) to 100 (excellent), the statewide average pavement condition index (PCI) is 68, or "at risk."

WHEREAS, in both Proposition 5 in 1974 and Proposition 2 in 1998 the voters of our state overwhelmingly imposed restrictions on the state's ability to do what the Governor has proposed and the Legislature is considering, and any effort to permanently divert the local share of the gas

tax would violate the state constitution and the will of the voters.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE TOWN COUNCIL AND THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD OF THE TOWN OF WINDSOR hereby expresses its willingness to cooperate with the League of California Cities, California Redevelopment Association, other cities, counties and redevelopment agencies in supporting litigation against the State of California if the Legislature enacts and the Governor signs into law legislation that unconstitutionally diverts the redevelopment tax increment and the Town's share of funding from the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA), also known as the "gas tax," to fund the state general fund; and be it

BE IT RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Town Manager/Agency Executive Director or clerk shall send this resolution with an accompanying letter from the Mayor/Agency chair to the Governor and each of the Town's state legislators, informing them in the clearest of terms of the Town's adamant resolve to oppose any effort to frustrate the will of the electorate as expressed in Proposition 18 (1952), Proposition 5 (1974) and Proposition 2 (1998) concerning the proper use and allocation of the redevelopment tax increment and the gas tax; and be it


BE IT RESOLVED FURTHER, that a copy of this Resolution shall be sent by the Town Manager/Agency Executive Director or Clerk to the League of California Cities, the California Redevelopment Association, the local chamber of commerce, and other community groups whose members are affected by this proposal to divert funds from vital local services and projects.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 1st day of July 2009, by the following vote:

**AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS/MEMBERS FUDGE, SALMON, SCHOLAR AND
MAYOR/CHAIR GOBLE**
NOES: NONE
ABSTAIN: NONE
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBER ALLEN


ROBIN R. GOBLE, MAYOR/CHAIR

ATTEST:


**MARIA DE LA O, TOWN CLERK/
AGENCY SECRETARY**